

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Abstandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/700,103 11/09/2000 Heinrich Gers-Barlag **BEIERSDORF 6** 2589 7590 06/04/2003 Norris McLaughlin & Marcus P A EXAMINER 220 East 42nd Street LAMM, MARINA 30th Floor New York, NY 10017 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1616 DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/700,103	GERS-BARLAG ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Marina Lamm	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status ₄\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	nril 2002		
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	,		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 7-12,14 and 15 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·	6) Claim(s) 7-12,14 and 15 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/700,103

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/3/03 has been entered.
- 2. Claims pending are 7-12, 14 and 15. Claim 7 has been amended to recite "non-crystallizing" composition.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. The rejection of Claims 7-12, 14 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stäb et al. in view of Defossez et al. is maintained for the reasons of the record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The in response to the Applicant's argument that "there is no suggestion by either Stäb or Defossez that hexyldecyl laurate will have the surprising effect of avoiding crystallization when used in combination with the triazine compound," it is noted that Stäb et al. teach that their compositions "have a sufficiently high stability for use". See col. 3, line 21. Nowhere in the reference, Stäb et al. mentions that triazine compounds would crystallize in their formulations.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,103 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

See Example 19. Therefore, absent showing to the contrary, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to substitute isopropyl myristate of Stäb et al. for hexyldecyl laurate of Defossez et al. in the compositions of Stäb et al. with a reasonable expectation of deriving the same cosmetic effect as set forth in the Stäb reference because these two fatty acid esters are used interchangeably for the same

Conclusion

6. No claim is allowed at this time.

art-recognized purpose as suggested by Defossez et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Lamm whose telephone number is (703) 306-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 to 5.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Marina Lamm

Patent Examiner AU 1616

6/3/03